

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF ALAMANCE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by Alamance County in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, Alamance County believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
Alamance County

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF CASWELL COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by Caswell County in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, Caswell County believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
Caswell County

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF CITY OF ARCHDALE, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by City of Archdale in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, City of Archdale believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
City of Archdale

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)		
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)		
	)		
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)		CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)		
Cable Facilities	)		
<hr/>	)		

**COMMENTS OF CITY OF ASHEBORO, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by CITY OF ASHEBORO in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, CITY OF ASHEBORO believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
CITY OF ASHEBORO

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF CITY OF BURLINGTON, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by CITY OF BURLINGTON in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, CITY OF BURLINGTON believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
CITY OF BURLINGTON

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF CITY OF EDEN, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by CITY OF EDEN in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, CITY OF EDEN believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
CITY OF EDEN

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF CITY OF GRAHAM, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by CITY OF GRAHAM in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, CITY OF GRAHAM believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
CITY OF GRAHAM

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF CITY OF HIGH POINT, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by CITY OF HIGH POINT in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, CITY OF HIGH POINT believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
CITY OF HIGH POINT

June 17, 2002



Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF CITY OF LEXINGTON, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by CITY OF LEXINGTON in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, CITY OF LEXINGTON believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
CITY OF LEXINGTON

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF CITY OF RANDLEMAN, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by CITY OF RANDLEMAN in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, CITY OF RANDLEMAN believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
CITY OF RANDLEMAN

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF CITY OF REIDSVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by CITY OF REIDSVILLE in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, CITY OF REIDSVILLE believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
CITY OF REIDSVILLE

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

_____	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
_____	)	

**COMMENTS OF DAVIDSON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by Davidson County in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, Davidson County believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_  
David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
Davidson County

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF GUILFORD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by Guilford County in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, Guilford County believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
Guilford County

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF RANDOLPH COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by Randolph County in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, Randolph County believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
Randolph County

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF ROCKINGHAM COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by Rockingham County in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, Rockingham County believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
Rockingham County

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF TOWN OF ELON, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by TOWN OF ELON in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, TOWN OF ELON believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
TOWN OF ELON

June 17, 2002



Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)		
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)		
	)		
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)		CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)		
Cable Facilities	)		
<hr/>	)		

**COMMENTS OF TOWN OF GIBSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by TOWN OF GIBSONVILLE in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, TOWN OF GIBSONVILLE believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
TOWN OF GIBSONVILLE

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF TOWN OF HAW RIVER, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by TOWN OF HAW RIVER in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, TOWN OF HAW RIVER believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
TOWN OF HAW RIVER

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)		
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)		
	)		
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)		CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)		
Cable Facilities	)		
<hr/>	)		

**COMMENTS OF TOWN OF JAMESTOWN, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by TOWN OF JAMESTOWN in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, TOWN OF JAMESTOWN believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
TOWN OF JAMESTOWN

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)		
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)		
	)		
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)		CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)		
Cable Facilities	)		
<hr/>	)		

**COMMENTS OF TOWN OF LIBERTY, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by TOWN OF LIBERTY in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, TOWN OF LIBERTY believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
TOWN OF LIBERTY

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF TOWN OF MADISON, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by TOWN OF MADISON in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, TOWN OF MADISON believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
TOWN OF MADISON

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF TOWN OF MAYODAN, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by TOWN OF MAYODAN in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, TOWN OF MAYODAN believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
TOWN OF MAYODAN

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF CITY OF MEBANE, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by CITY OF MEBANE in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, CITY OF MEBANE believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
CITY OF MEBANE

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)		
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)		
	)		
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)		CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)		
Cable Facilities	)		
<hr/>	)		

**COMMENTS OF TOWN OF OAK RIDGE, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by TOWN OF OAK RIDGE in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, TOWN OF OAK RIDGE believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
TOWN OF OAK RIDGE

June 17, 2002



Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
	)	
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)	
Cable Facilities	)	
<hr/>	)	

**COMMENTS OF TOWN OF RAMSEUR, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by TOWN OF RAMSEUR in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, TOWN OF RAMSEUR believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
TOWN OF RAMSEUR

June 17, 2002

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<hr/>	)		
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	)	CS Docket No. 02-52
Appropriate Regulatory Treatment for	)		
Broadband Access to the Internet Over	)		
Cable Facilities	)		
<hr/>	)		

**COMMENTS OF TOWN OF YANCEYVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA**

These comments are filed by TOWN OF YANCEYVILLE in support of the comments filed by the Alliance of Local Organizations Against Preemption (the "Alliance"). Like the Alliance, TOWN OF YANCEYVILLE believes that (a) local communities should be able to require cable operators to obtain additional authorizations to use and occupy public rights of way to provide cable services, and to enforce existing authorizations that have been granted for the service; (b) should be able to obtain fair and reasonable compensation for use and occupancy of the public rights of way to provide non-cable services; and (c) should be able to regulate cable companies in their provision of non-cable services, as provided under the Cable Act.

Respectfully submitted,

---

David H. Harris  
Piedmont Triad Council of Governments  
Suite 201  
Koger Center  
2216 West Meadowview Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27407

Regional Cable Administrator  
Representing:  
TOWN OF YANCEYVILLE

June 17, 2002